

Incidences of multi-drug resistance *Escherichia coli* isolates in Panipuri sold in Bangalore

Mary, C. and *Usha, M. S.

Department of Microbiology, Jayanagar, Jain University, Bangalore 560011, India

Article history

Received: 6 August 2012 Received in revised form: 17 September 2012 Accepted: 19 September 2012

<u>Keywords</u>

Panipuri Bangalore *E. coli* multi-drug resistance

Introduction

In India, chaats chats like Bhelpuri, Panipuri etc. are sold at public places and roadside shops. In most cases, running water is not available at vending sites; hands and utensils are washed in one or more buckets and sometimes without soap (Mensah *et al.*, 2002). Vendors can be the carriers of pathogens like *E. coli*, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, *Campylobacter* and *S. aureus* (Tambekar *et al.*, 2011).

Abstract

E. coli is a consistent inhabitant of the human intestinal tract and it is the predominant facultative organism in the human gastrointestinal tract. *E. coli* is considered as a reliable indicator organism of fecal pollution, generally in insanitary conditions of water, food, milk and other dairy products (Soomro *et al.*, 2002). But some strains, when they enter fecal-oral route may cause illness due to toxins they secrete. Due to the complex biochemical composition and water activity, street-vended-foods like Panipuri acts as an excellent culture medium for the growth and multiplication of *E. coli*. Contamination of Panipuri from clinical isolates of *E. coli* can be life threatening due to their resistance to antibiotics (Farzana *et al.*, 2011).

Prevalence of *E. coli* in street-vended-chats including Panipuri has been studied by Das *et al.* (2010) and Tambekar *et al.* (2011). Antibiogram profile of *E. coli* from clinical samples has been studied by few investigators (Nazir, 2007, Paul *et al.*, 2010, Momoh *et al.*, 2011), and *E. coli* from these

Out of 100 samples of Panipuri collected, 74 showed the presence of *E. coli*. The *E. coli* isolates were subjected to antibiotic susceptibility using 19 antibiotics. Most of them were found to be multi-drug resistant, showing resistance against 5-13 antibiotics. Also, two isolates (pe16 and pe74) were found to be more resistant, where pe16 and pe74 were resistant against 13 and 12 antibiotics, respectively. Streptomycin was found to be the most effective antibiotic, against which 58 isolates showed sensitivity. On the other hand, Ofloxacin was found to be the least effective antibiotic, against which 68 isolates showed resistance.

© All Rights Reserved

clinical samples has been found to be multi-drug resistant. The present study aims at isolation of *E. coli* from panipuri sold at Bangalore city in India, and antibiotic resistance patterns shown by the isolates.

Materials and Methods

Media and antibiotics

Dehydrated media, antibiotics and other chemicals used in the present study were procured from Hi-media Pvt. Ltd., Bombay.

Isolation of E. coli

A total of 100 samples were collected from street vendors in and around Bangalore under aseptic condition. Isolation of *E. coli* was carried out using Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) agar media. One ml of each sample was used for the isolation purpose. Nucleated colonies with or without metallic sheen on EMB were selected for further studies.

Antibiotic susceptibility test

The *E. coli* isolates were subjected to antibiotic susceptibility test following the Kirby-bauer disc diffusion method (Bauer *et al.*, 1966). Susceptibility or resistance patterns of the isolates were studied by measuring zone of inhibition and referring to standard chart for each antibiotic.

Results and Discussion

Out of 100 samples collected, 74 samples showed the presence of *E. coli*. All the 74 isolates showed nucleated colonies with or without metallic sheen on EMB agar medium. Analysis of the street-vendedchaats by Das *et al.* (2010) revealed a high prevalence of bacterial pathogens including *E. coli*. Total viable counts of faecal coliforms varied between 0.03- 0.14×10^4 cfu g⁻¹. A prevalence of 41% *E. coli* was found in panipuri water (Tambekar *et al.*, 2011).

In antibiotic susceptibility test, the 74 isolates showed varied results against each antibiotic. The number of antibiotics against which each isolate showed resistance ranged between 3 and 13. Among the isolates, three were found to be resistant to less than 5 antibiotics, 46 isolates showed resistance to 5-9 antibiotics and 25 isolates showed resistance to more than 10 antibiotics. The isolate pe16 was found to be resistant to 13 antibiotics, and the isolate pe74 was resistance to 12 antibiotics (Table 1).

Streptomycin was found to be the most effective antibiotic against which 78% isolates were found to be sensitive. In contrast, Ciprofloxacin and Ceftazidime were observed to be least effective antibiotics against which 74 (100%) isolates were resistance (Table 2).

Table 1. Antibiotic resistance of the isolates

SI.	Isolate	R	S	SI.	Isolate	R	s
No.	15011110		5	No.	10011110		5
1	pe1	12	07	38	pe55	17	02
2	pe4	09	10	39	pe56	15	04
3	pe14	15	04	40	pe57	16	03
4	pel5	18	01	41	pe58	15	04
5	pe16	17	02	42	pe59	17	02
6	pe17	12	07	43	pe60	15	04
7	pe18	16	03	44	pe61	17	02
8	pe19	14	05	45	pe62	17	02
9	pe20	18	01	46	pe63	16	03
10	pe21	16	03	47	pe64	17	02
11	pe22	17	02	48	pe65	17	02
12	pe23	15	04	49	pe66	17	02
13	pe24	11	08	50	pe67	16	03
14	pe25	16	03	51	pe68	18	01
15	pe26	17	02	52	pe72	17	02
16	pe27	15	04	53	pe73	17	02
17	pe28	18	01	54	pe74	17	02
18	pe29	17	02	55	pe75	18	01
19	pe30	17	02	56	pe76	16	03
20	pe31	18	01	57	pe77	17	02
21	pe32	16	03	58	pe79	16	03
22	pe33	15	04	59	pe80	17	02
23	pe39	16	03	60	pe81	15	04
24	pe40	15	04	61	pe84	17	02
25	pe41	17	02	62	pe85	15	04
26	pe42	18	01	63	pe86	18	01
27	pe43	17	02	64	pe87	16	03
28	pe44	15	04	65	pe88	18	01
29	pe45	15	04	66	pe89	17	02
30	pe46	17	02	67	pe92	17	02
31	pe47	15	04	68	pe93	18	01
32	pe48	16	03	69	pe94	14	05
33	pe50	15	04	70	pe95	13	06
34	pe51	14	05	71	pe96	13	06
35	pe52	15	04	72	pe98	12	07
36	pe53	16	03	73	pe99	14	05
37	pe54 e, S=susceptible	13	06	74	pe100	16	03

R=resistance, S=susceptible

Table 2	Effect of	each	antibiotic	against	isolates

Sl. No.	Antibiotic	Resistance	Sensitive	
1	Amikacin	63 (85%)	11 (15%)	
2	Ampicillin	72 (97%)	2 (3%)	
3	Ceftazidime	74 (100%)	0 (0%)	
4	Cefuroxime	63 (85%)	11 (15%)	
5	Chloramphenicol	62 (84%)	12 (16%)	
6	Ciprofloxacin	74 (100%)	0 (0%)	
7	Doxycycline Hydrochloride	64 (86%)	10(14%)	
8	Gentamicin	63 (85%)	11 (15%)	
9	Imipenem	72 (97%)	2 (3%)	
10	Nalidixic Acid	72 (97%)	2 (3%)	
11	Neomycin	39 (53%)	35 (47%)	
12	Nitrofurantoin	64 (86%)	10(14%)	
13	Norfloxacin	73 (99%)	1 (1%)	
14	Ofloxacin	72 (97%)	2 (3%)	
15	Polmyxin-B	62 (84%)	12 (16%)	
16	Rifampicin	24 (32%)	50 (68%)	
17	Streptomycin	16 (22%)	58 (78%)	
18	Tetracycline	71 (96%)	3 (4%)	
19	Trimethoprim	71 (96%)	3 (4%)	

Antibiogram patterns of *E. coli* isolates of calves feces and diarrhegenic stool of infants were studied by Nazir (2007) who found that all the isolates were 100% resistant to Cloxacillin and Erythromycin. On the other hand, the calf isolates showed 100% sensitivity to both Ciprofloxacin and Chloramphenicol. However, children isolates were found to be completely resistant to Ampicillin and Erythromycin. The only highly sensitive antibiotic to children isolate was Chloramphenicol (Nazir, 2007). In another study, nearly all isolates were found to be susceptible to Norfloxacin, enrofloxacin and Ofloxacin (Paul *et al.*, 2010).

Conclusion

E. coli is prevalent in panipuri sold at Bangalore city in India. Contamination of Panipuri samples could be due to vendors who are the carriers and/or infected persons. A few of the isolated E. coli were multi-drug resistant. Care should be taken during preparation, handling and serving of chats by roadside vendors to avoid contamination of E. coli.

References

- Bauer, A. W., Kirby, W. M., Sherris, J. C. and Turck M. 1966. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. American Journal of Clinical Pathology 45(4): 493–496.
- Das, A., Nagananda, G. S., Bhattacharya, S. and Bhardwaj, S. 2010. Microbiological quality of street-vended Indian chats sold in Bangalore. Journal of Biological Sciences 10(3): 255-260.
- Farzana, K., Akram, M. R. and Mahmood, S. 2011. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility patterns of

some bacterial isolates from a street vended fruit product. African Journal of Microbiology Research 5(11): 1277-1284.

- Momoh, A. R. M., Orhue, P. O., Idonije, O. B., Oaikhena, A. G., Nwoke, E. O. and Momoh, A. A. 2011. The antibiogram types of *Escherichia coli* isolated from suspected urinary tract infection samples. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology Research 1(3): 57-65.
- Mensah, P., Yeboah-Manu, D., Owusu-Darko, K. and Ablordey, A. 2002. Street foods in Accra, Ghana: how safe are they? Bulletin of the World Health Organization 80(7): 546-554.
- Nazir, K. H. M. N. H. 2007. Plasmid profiles and antibiogram pattern of *Escherichia coli* isolates of calves feces and diarrhegenic stool of infants Journal of Bangladesh Society of Agricultural Science and Technology 4(1&2): 149-152.
- Paul, W. M., Rajeswaran, M., Kumar, R. S. and Chandran, N. D. J. 2010. Antibiogram typing of *Escherichia coli* of bovine diarrhoeic origin. Tamilnadu Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences 6(4): 166-169.
- Soomro, A. H., Arain, M. A., Khaskheli, M. and Bhutto, B. 2002. Isolation of *Escherichia coli* from raw milk and milk products in relation to public health sold under market condition at Tandojam. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 3: 151-152.
- Tambekar, D. H., Kulkarni, R. V., Shirsat, S. D. and Bhadange, D. G. 2011. Bacteriological quality of street vended food panipuri: A case study of Amaravati City (MS) India. Bioscience Discovery 2(3): 350-354.